

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO BO 1449 Alexan Ing Vagnus 22413 (450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/941,794	08/30/2001	Gregory R. Ziegler	2000-2344	7618	
75	90 07/08/2003				
Anthony J. De Laurentis 2001 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 22202			PADEN, CAROLYN A		
			1761	ζ	
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			plication No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s) ZIEGLER ET AL.	
			9/941,794	ZIEGLER ET AL.		
Office Action Summary		<i>ry</i> Ex	aminer	Art Unit	Art Unit	
			rolyn A Paden	1761		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this co or Reply	mmunication appears	s on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	S	
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIMAILING DATE OF THIS COM asions of time may be available under the properties of the period for reply specified above is less than period for reply is specified above, the maximate to reply within the set or extended period eply received by the Office later than three mad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70	IMUNICATION. ovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) his communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within imum statutory period will app for reply will, by statute, caus nonths after the mailing date.	In no event, however, may an the statutory minimum of the boly and will expire SIX (6) MC et he application to become	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this commun	ication.	
Status	Decree in the second of the	() () () () ()				
1)⊡	Responsive to communication					
2a)☐	This action is FINAL .	·	ction is non-final.			
3) <u> </u>	closed in accordance with the	practice under <i>Ex p</i>	except for formal marte Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the me c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	rits is	
· _	on of Claims	a tha analastics				
	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in	• •				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	_ is/are withdrawn fr	om consideration.			
) is/ara rainatad				
	Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-25 and 27-32</u> Claim(s) <u>13 and 26</u> is/are object	<u>-</u>				
	Claim(s) <u>13 and 20</u> is/are object to r		ation roquiromant			
	on Papers	estriction and/or elec	ction requirement.			
9)□ T	he specification is objected to	by the Examiner.				
10)[] T	he drawing(s) filed on is	s/are: a) accepted c	or b) objected to by	the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that a	ny objection to the drav	wing(s) be held in abey	/ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correctio	n filed on is: a	a) approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.		
_	If approved, corrected drawings a	· •				
	he oath or declaration is object		er.			
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgment is made of a		rity under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None					
	1. Certified copies of the pri					
	2. Certified copies of the pri					
	 Copies of the certified co application from the I ee the attached detailed Office 	nternational Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	•	
				§ 119(e) (to a provisional applie	cation	
	☐ The translation of the foreig					
15)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a cl					
ttachment(
) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·	

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Benoit (6,183,783).

Benoit et al discloses a method for preparing microcapsules containing active materials coated with a polymer. The coating material is

Art Unit: 1761

disclosed at column 3, lines 55-67 to be composed of a polysaccharide. such as cellulose, alginate, carrageenan, pectin, guar or chitosans. The superciritcal fluid is CO2 as a liquid or in a supercritical state. The solvent for the polymer is disclosed at column 5, lines 35-49 to include an alcohol such as ethanol or propylene glycol. In examples 2-8 bovine hemoglobin was processed and in example 9 bovine serum albumin was processed. In example 9 the polymer was dissolved in the solvent and mixed with the substrate. Then liquid CO2 was added to bring about the gradual precipitation of the polymer. The CO2 was brought to a supercritical state by increasing the pressure and temperature of the system to bring about the extraction of the solvent and recover microcapsules. Although the reference does not state that the CO2 is sprayed through a nozzle, it is the examiner's position that this property would have been inherent to the use of a gas in a liquid state. Further steps b and c are apparatus and process limitations that do not carry any weight in product claims.

Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Thies et al. (5,512,231).

Application/Control Number: 09/941,794

Art Unit: 1761

Thies et al discloses forming cellulose acetate articles using supercritical fluid. At example 1 cellulose acetate is mixed in a solvent system containing supercritical carbon dioxide and ethanol (column 1, lines 50-57). Then the combination is formed into a filament by passing it through a syringe pump. The claims appear to differ from the reference in the use of a spray nozzle, as suggested in steps b and c. But apparatus and process limitations do not carry weight in product claims.

Claims 1-12, 14-25 and 27-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an edible substrate, does not reasonably provide enablement for any and all substrate. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claims 13 and 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The declaration contains a claim for priority to 60/228906 and examiner requests clarification and correction of the declaration to reflect the correct serial number of the case, which examiner suggests might be

Application/Control Number: 09/941,794

Art Unit: 1761

60/228966. The computer data in the case shows indicates that the different numbers are not consistent.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is 703-308-3294. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (703) 308-3959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

CAROLYN PADEN ()

Page 5

RIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300 171